



Case Study

Open Access

Tourism for Tomorrow: Balancing Culture, Conservation, and Community



Vincent Potage^{a*}

^a Wyatt-Potage Consulting, Mauritius

*Correspondence: vpotage@gmail.com

Received 05 May 2024; Revised 21 July 2024; Accepted 30 July 2024

ABSTRACT: Achieving sustainable tourism necessitates a delicate balance between economic prosperity, environmental protection, and the well-being of local communities. This article explores the challenges and opportunities for sustainable tourism development in Mauritius, a captivating island nation, and Queensland, Australia, a region celebrated for its rich Aboriginal culture and diverse ecosystems. Drawing upon research conducted in both locations, the article examines pressing environmental concerns alongside socio-cultural issues within tourism practices. Through this exploration, Community-Based Tourism (CBT) emerges as a promising approach for navigating some of these challenges. The article presents CBT models and incorporates real-world examples from both Mauritius and Queensland. These examples illuminate how CBT may empower local communities, foster cultural preservation, and potentially contribute to environmental sustainability. Ultimately, this article investigates the viability of CBT as a strategic tool for promoting sustainable tourism development in these regions.

KEYWORDS: sustainable tourism; community-based tourism (CBT); cultural preservation; local empowerment; Mauritius; Queensland

Introduction

The alluring turquoise waters of Mauritius and the awe-inspiring Aboriginal rock paintings adorning the ancient sandstone cliffs of Queensland, exemplify the captivating destinations tourism unlocks. However, the rapid expansion of the tourism industry has become a double-edged sword, generating economic benefits while simultaneously raising concerns about environmental degradation, cultural erosion, and the marginalization of local communities (United Nations Environment Programme & World Tourism Organization, 2020). Sustainable tourism has emerged as a critical response to these challenges, offering a transformative approach that transcends the limitations of traditional tourism models. Moving beyond the singular pursuit of leisure experiences, sustainable tourism strives to achieve a balance between economic prosperity, environmental protection, and the well-being of local communities (Higham et al. 2017).

This article explores the innovative world of sustainable tourism, with a particular focus on community engagement. By examining Mauritius, a small island nation in the Indian Ocean,

and Queensland, Australia, renowned for its Aboriginal culture and diverse ecosystems, we can observe different approaches to sustainability. The research presented in this paper was identified through a systematic literature review using databases like Google Scholar and JSTOR with keywords related to sustainable tourism. Studies were chosen based on relevance, methodological rigor, and contributions to the field.

This research underscores the urgency of adopting sustainable tourism practices. For example, Gössling et al. (2019) used a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative data on tourism impacts with qualitative interviews of stakeholders to highlight the need for sustainable tourism practices. Similarly, Sharpley (2020) emphasizes the need for responsible tourism development by employing a literature review methodology, synthesizing findings from various studies to advocate for tourism development that balances social, environmental, and economic considerations. Furthermore, Pratibha et al. (2022) conducted ethnographic research, including participant observation and interviews, to explore how tourism can lead to cultural homogenization and commodification. From these studies alone, it is evident that placing communities at the center of tourism development fosters a sense of ownership and empowers local residents to chart their own course.

By analyzing the literature in this area, this article explores different pathways towards a more responsible future for tourism. Through community engagement, tourism can become a powerful tool for cultural preservation, environmental stewardship, and economic empowerment (Sharpley, 2020; Gössling et al., 2019; Pratibha et al., 2022).

Evolving Paradigms in Sustainable Tourism: Integrating Environmental, Social, and Economic Dimensions

Sustainable tourism aims to minimize tourism's environmental impact while promoting cultural integrity and economic development (Pan, et al, 2018). Emerging in response to environmental concerns, it is essential for protecting the environment and ensuring equitable cultural and economic benefits among stakeholders, including local communities (Pan et al., 2018). Rooted in the 1970s environmental movement, early sustainable tourism focused on mitigating negative environmental impacts like pollution and habitat loss (Hall et al., 2018). These initial efforts laid the foundation for a comprehensive approach, integrating environmental, socio-economic aspects of sustainability in tourism (Hall, et al., 2018).

From the 1990s to the early 2000s, sustainable tourism expanded to include social and economic sustainability, driven by international initiatives and agreements such as the 1992 Earth Summit's Agenda 21 and the World Tourism Organization's 1999 Global Code of Ethics for Tourism (Torres-Delgado & Palomeque, 2012). These initiatives stressed tourism's role in economic development while preserving cultural and environmental assets. Since the mid-2000s, sustainable tourism has adopted more integrated practices, recognizing the links between environmental protection, social equity, and economic viability (Torres-Delgado & Palomeque, 2012). Eco-tourism and community-based tourism exemplify this approach, focusing on conservation and local well-being. Sustainable certification programs and the UN's 2015 Sustainable Development Goals underscore tourism's sustainably operating responsibility (Kumar, et al., 2024). Emerging technologies and growing global awareness are set to enhance sustainable practices and traveler experiences, emphasizing responsible, transformative travel (Soulard, et al., 2019).

Challenges remain, particularly in balancing growth with sustainability. The increase in global travel poses risks of over-tourism, which can lead to environmental degradation and cultural dilution (Sharma & Sodani, 2023). To address these challenges, there is a growing global emphasis on policies promoting responsible tourism that benefits local communities economically and preserves their cultural heritage and environment (Edgell, 2019).

The Global Rise of Sustainable Tourism and its Underlying Challenges

Tourism has become a global phenomenon, transforming into a major economic driver. According to the World Travel & Tourism Council (2023), it accounts for a staggering 9.6% of global GDP and one in ten jobs worldwide. This rapid growth, however, has cast a long shadow. Unforeseen consequences, such as environmental degradation, cultural erosion, and strain on local resources, have emerged as significant concerns (Chandel, 2022). In recognition of these challenges, the United Nations World Tourism Organization (UNWTO) has emerged as a leading advocate for sustainable tourism practices (UNWTO, 2023). The UNWTO champions a form of tourism that minimizes negative environmental and socio-cultural impacts while maximizing benefits for local communities and their environments. This vision aligns with the broader objectives of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly those related to environmental conservation (SDG 14 & 15), poverty reduction (SDG 1), and sustainable economic growth (SDG 8) (UNWTO, 2023).

Yet the path towards sustainable tourism is not without its complexities. The environmental challenges are particularly pressing. Mass tourism can lead to habitat loss due to infrastructure development and increased pressure on natural resources like water and energy (Gössling & Hünneke, 2015). Pollution generated by transportation and waste mismanagement can severely disrupt ecosystems, particularly in fragile environments such as coral reefs (Cheer et al., 2019). Additionally, the socio-cultural challenges are equally concerning. Unmanaged tourism can disrupt traditional ways of life, leading to cultural homogenization and a sense of inauthenticity (Richards, 2021). Furthermore, cultural commodification, where local traditions are exploited for tourist entertainment, can erode cultural heritage and disrespect local communities (Richards, 2021).

A critical review of the literature by Dahles (et al., 2019) highlights how local community ownership in tourism can stimulate economic development and reduce poverty in host communities. However, the economic benefits of tourism, while undeniable, are not without drawbacks. While tourism generates income and employment, it can also lead to pitfalls like unequal distribution of benefits. In some areas, foreign-owned resorts can dominate the tourism sector, with little financial gain for local businesses or residents (Sharpley & Naidoo, 2010). This ~~is a~~ can be a concern for Mauritius, which relies on tourism for 19% of its annual GDP (Mauritius Statistics Bureau, 2023). Research by Sharpley and Naidoo (2010) explored the distribution of tourism benefits in Mauritius. The study found that while tourism can provide some immediate financial help for those in poverty, it generally falls short of offering a lasting solution for economic improvement (Sharpley & Naidoo, 2010).

Queensland, much like Mauritius, faces the challenge of ensuring tourism revenue benefits its local economy. Dean (et al., 2020) identified a trend within Australia's tourism sector where domestic visitors may contribute more significantly to regional economies compared to their international counterparts. Their analysis of tourist behavior revealed that domestic tourists in Australia tend to have longer stays and spend more—traits indicative of 'higher quality'

tourism from a regional development perspective (Dean et al., 2020). This suggests a strategic focus on attracting such visitors could lead to more sustainable economic growth in remote areas of Queensland, that are notoriously harder to reach for international tourists. Meanwhile, research by Becken and Hay (2017) underscores the urgency of developing climate-responsive tourism policies. Their study highlights the varying regional impacts of climate change on tourism economies across Australia, emphasizing that integrating climate considerations into tourism strategies is not just environmentally responsible but also crucial for economic resilience (Becken & Hay, 2017).

The Multifaceted Challenges of Sustainable Tourism

Environmental concerns are paramount in both Mauritius and Australia, and the construction of tourism infrastructure, transportation needs, and overuse of natural resources can disrupt ecosystems (Zenker & Kock, 2023). The coral reefs of Mauritius, once vibrant ecosystems teeming with diverse marine life, now face threats from bleaching due to rising water temperatures partly caused by greenhouse gas emissions (Nature Climate Change, 2020). Sustainable tourism practices are crucial for Mauritius to preserve these natural wonders, a significant draw for tourists seeking pristine beaches and underwater exploration (Zenker & Kock, 2023). Studies show that healthy coral reefs not only attract tourists but also provide vital coastal protection and support local fisheries (World Wildlife Fund, 2021).

Sustainable tourism in regions like Far North Queensland requires a delicate balance between economic development and the preservation of cultural and natural resources. Research by Heagneya (et al., 2020) underscores the high economic value of protected areas in Australia, suggesting that natural attractions in Far North Queensland such as the Daintree Rainforest and Great Barrier Reef, can be leveraged for sustainable economic gain while preserving biodiversity. This aligns with Zenker and Kock's (2023) emphasis on environmentally conscious tourism development, particularly in the wake of global challenges such as the pandemic.

Research has indicated that Australian Indigenous cultures, rich in storytelling and connection to the land, face potential risks of cultural commodification, as tourists seek authentic experiences (Jacobsen, 2017). According to Jacobsen (2017), the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander art sector plays a crucial role in shaping sustainable tourism, by ensuring that cultural presentations are authentic and community-driven. Moreover, Pabel (et al., 2017) found that tourists have a nuanced interest in indigenous experiences, often preferring to engage in both cultural tours and a variety of nature-based activities. This indicates the importance of integrating indigenous tourism into the broader context of regional attractions. Aligning with these findings, tourism initiatives should focus on immersive experiences that responsibly celebrate indigenous culture, offering visitors an opportunity to deepen their understanding and appreciation while also contributing to the economic resilience of the local communities (Jacobsen, 2017; Pabel et al., 2017).

Community Engagement: The Heart of Sustainable Tourism

Community engagement forms the crux of sustainable tourism, anchoring it in the active participation of local communities, thus transforming them from passive onlookers to dynamic custodians and beneficiaries of tourism development (Cornelissen & Verheijden,

2004; Mowforth & Munt, 2003; Forsyth et al., 2023). This symbiosis is particularly critical in Mauritius and Queensland, where tourism acts as a linchpin of regional economies (Forsyth et al., 2023). Some examples of eco-labels from Mauritius and Queensland highlight sustainable tourism efforts being made and are as follows:

Mauritius: The Mauritius Ecotourism Label recognizes and promotes accommodation providers who demonstrate a commitment to environmental responsibility and community engagement (Mauritius Ecotourism Label, 2023). One such example is the Black River Gorges Bel Ombre (BRGBO) which is a UNESCO biosphere nature reserve conservation project, co-managed by the local community and private tourism operators (Prime Minister's Office, 2023). Tourists visiting the island can participate in ecological restoration efforts like mangrove planting, while directly supporting the livelihoods of local residents (Mauritius Ecotourism Label, 2023). Another example is Rogers Hospitality (a Mauritian-owned organization), which acknowledges the importance of supporting local communities with its "Now for Tomorrow" program (Rogers Hospitality, 2023). This multifaceted program involves emphasizing waste reduction promoting recycling, sourcing local produce for their operations, employing local residents, and collaborating with community organizations on social development projects (Rogers Hospitality, 2023).

Queensland: The Indigenous Land and Sea Ranger program is another example of community engagement in tourism practices in Queensland. This Australian government initiative supports Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people in caring for their traditional lands and seas (Australian Government, Department of the Environment and Water Resources, [DEWR] 2024). Rangers work to conserve biodiversity, manage natural resources sustainably, and educate visitors about the importance of environmental protection (DEWR, 2024). Their knowledge and connection to the land are crucial for ensuring the long-term sustainability of tourism in the region (DEWR, 2024). However, Aberdeen and Schuler (2003) explored the complex relationship between tourism and the Djabugay people, an Aboriginal Australian community near Cairns. While participation in the tourist industry offers potential benefits such as cultural revival, employment opportunities, and cross-cultural understanding, the study identifies drawbacks as well (Aberdeen & Schuler, 2003). These include the risk of cultural degradation, exploitation, and limited economic advantages for the Djabugay people (Aberdeen & Schuler, 2003). The findings suggest that the legacy of colonialism shapes the Djabugay's experience with tourism (Aberdeen & Schuler, 2003). The research emphasizes the importance of fair agreements, improved communication, strategies for indigenous empowerment, and fostering meaningful cultural interaction within the tourism industry (Aberdeen & Schuler, 2003).

The promotion of cultural authenticity in tourism is central to both Mauritius and Queensland. Mauritius celebrates its Sega music tradition, allowing tourists to immerse in the cultural vibrancy through participatory workshops, while in Queensland, the Kuku Yalanji people's collaboration with tourism operators facilitates authentic cultural exchanges, enriching visitors' understanding of traditional practices and the region's natural wonders (Heritage Resorts, 2023; Jones, 2020). These efforts align with tourists' increasing preference for indigenous tourism experiences that respect and support local cultures (Jones, 2020).

Promoting Sustainable Tourism through Strategic Eco-Certifications

In Mauritius, the implementation of eco-certifications has been strategic and multifaceted, aiming to bolster tourism sustainability. A key initiative is the Mauritian Standard on Sustainable Tourism (MS 165:2019), recognized by the Global Sustainable Tourism Council (GSTC) (Gowreesunkar, et al., 2023). This standard enhances the sustainability performance of tourism businesses by addressing environmental, socio-cultural, and economic impacts (Gowreesunkar, et al., 2023). Part of the 'Sustainable Island – Mauritius Project' demonstrates a commitment to high-level tourism sustainability and long-term industry viability (Makoondlall-Chadee, et al., 2024).

Additionally, the Mauritius Eco-Label promotes responsible travel practices, distinguishing genuine sustainable tourism practitioners from 'greenwashing' (Osei, 2020). Greenwashing is a term used to describe the practice by which organizations, particularly businesses, mislead consumers regarding the environmental practices or benefits of a product or service. In contrast, the Mauritius Eco-Label offers a framework for tourism businesses, particularly MSMEs, to manage and measure their impacts effectively (Srinivasan, et al., 2022). This is crucial in Small Island Developing States like Mauritius, where environmental sensitivity is high (Osei, 2020). The eco-label also aims to provide a level playing field for smaller tourism entities by making certification schemes accessible and relevant (Srinivasan, et al., 2022).

In Queensland, eco-certification is integrated into the state's broader tourism strategies, especially in preparation for the 2032 Olympics (Heynen & Ambeth, 2023). The Eco-Certified Tourism Destination Program supports destinations in achieving globally recognized certifications like those from EarthCheck (Gamboa, 2019). This program enhances sustainability and ensures global recognition for sustainable practices (Gamboa, 2019). Places like Noosa in Queensland are working towards EarthCheck certification, aligning with local values and committing to ongoing sustainability improvements in areas such as energy efficiency, ecosystem conservation, and cultural and social management (Withyman, 2015).

Both regions show promising results from their eco-certification efforts, with increased global recognition and alignment with international sustainability standards. These initiatives promote sustainable tourism practices crucial for the long-term viability of the tourism sectors in Mauritius and Queensland. This alignment with global trends reflects a growing awareness and commitment to sustainability within the tourism industry worldwide, as more destinations and businesses adopt eco-certification to meet the increasing demand for responsible and sustainable travel options.

Furthermore, both Mauritius and Queensland align with global trends through their adherence to internationally recognized standards and comprehensive approach to sustainability. However, there are divergences in their approaches. For example, Queensland's initiatives are part of a larger state-wide strategy linked to significant international events like the Olympics, suggesting a more extensive integration of sustainability into regional planning (Heynen & Ambeth, 2023). In contrast, Mauritius focuses more on enhancing specific tourism practices within its smaller geographical and economic context (Osei, 2020).

Policy and Market Innovations for Sustainability

Policy innovations are crucial for integrating sustainability into tourism planning and development (Buckley, 2021). Strong governance and collaboration among stakeholders are essential for crafting comprehensive policy frameworks (McCool, 2015). These frameworks can encompass regulations that limit environmental impact, promote responsible waste management, and incentivize sustainable practices by tourism businesses (Eagles et al., 2002; Buckley, 2021). Market-based innovations can play a significant role in encouraging sustainable consumer behavior. Eco-certifications help tourists identify environmentally conscious travel options (Gossling, 2022), while green taxes and subsidies can influence tourist choices towards more sustainable practices (Forsyth et al., 2023).

For example, the Reef Guardian Council in Queensland exemplifies innovative policy approaches to sustainable tourism. Established in 2016, this multi-stakeholder council brings together representatives from the tourism industry, conservation groups, indigenous communities, and the government (Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, GBRMPA, 2023). The Council works collaboratively to develop and implement policies that ensure a thriving tourism industry alongside the long-term health of the Great Barrier Reef (GBRMPA, 2023). The Reef Guardian Council's collaborative approach and focus on both regulations and market-based incentives demonstrate how policy innovations play a critical role in integrating sustainability into tourism planning and development in Queensland (GBRMPA, 2023).

The Benefits of Community-Based Tourism

Community-based tourism (CBT) shifts the focus of tourism development to prioritize local communities, ensuring they are the primary hosts and beneficiaries, thus directly aligning with sustainable tourism practices (Blackstock, 2005; Weaver, 2008). CBT actively supports community well-being, cultural heritage, and environmental conservation (Boley & Perdue, 2012). The approach yields numerous advantages, such as enhancing local income through tourism-related activities, job creation, and the advancement of new skills (Boley & Perdue, 2012). For example, community-based tourism in Souillac, Mauritius, has seen positive economic impacts (Mbaiwa & Stronza, 2023). Local initiatives like homestay programs significantly enhance residents' incomes through a symbiotic relationship with tourism (Mbaiwa & Stronza, 2023). These programs offer tourists authentic cultural interactions while providing local fishermen with alternative income sources, thereby contributing to the region's socio-economic development (Tosun, 2000). Such interactions not only facilitate economic gains but also promote sustainable resource use and cultural exchanges (Scheyvens, 1999). In Mauritius, CBT initiatives strategically leverage the island's natural and cultural assets to foster sustainable tourism development. Eco-lodges and community-run tours offer immersive experiences that underscore the importance of preserving marine ecosystems and promoting local arts and traditions.

In Queensland, the Kuku Yalanji people's engagement with tourism through the 'Walkabout Australia' experience showcases the potential of CBT to facilitate cultural preservation, particularly in the revitalization of indigenous languages (Zeppel, 2012). This initiative illustrates CBT's power to enable indigenous communities like the Kuku Yalanji to preserve and celebrate their linguistic and cultural heritage (Zeppel, 2012). At the same time, it enriches the tourist experience with profound insights into the region's indigenous heritage

(Prideaux et al., 2016; (Zeppel, 2012). CBT models place a premium on active community involvement, ensuring that the guardians of cultural heritage—local artisans, storytellers, and conservationists—are also its principal benefactors. These interactions not only stimulate cultural continuity but also invite tourists to partake in a living culture, thus broadening their perspectives and appreciation for diversity (Brouder et al., 2020). Such efforts are paralleled in Queensland, where CBT facilitates the conservation of Aboriginal cultural heritage, as tourists engage in activities that respectfully introduce them to indigenous history and customs (Pabel et al., 2016).

The initiatives in Mauritius and Queensland offer tourists genuine insights, allowing them to experience authenticity while enabling communities to honor their heritage, thereby encouraging cultural perpetuity (Farrell & Twining-Ward, 2004). Moreover, CBT serves as a powerful mechanism for environmental guardianship, inspiring communities to conserve their natural habitats for future generations, with Moscardo (2008) highlighting its potential for fostering responsible eco-tourism activities. These practices underscore CBT's contribution beyond mere economic gain, fostering social unity and engendering communal pride (Farrell & Twining-Ward, 2004). While each CBT approach is distinct and encounters its own hurdles, they share a common goal: optimizing tourism's benefits for the communities involved (Blackstock, 2005). Showcasing local cultures, customs, and successes, CBT fortifies communal ties and cultivates pride in indigenous legacies and achievements (Farrell & Twining-Ward, 2004).

These localized CBT tourism models have the dual benefit of protecting natural resources and reinforcing community cohesion. They serve as conduits for environmental education, teaching visitors about the fragility of ecosystems like coral reefs and rainforests while showcasing sustainable living practices (Cheer et al., 2019). The economic inflows from tourism are then reinvested in conservation efforts and cultural revival projects, exemplifying a holistic approach to development that prioritizes both people and the planet (Cheer et al., 2019).

Furthermore, recent studies emphasize the multifunctional role of CBT in promoting environmental sustainability. In Queensland, programs led by indigenous rangers combine traditional ecological knowledge with contemporary conservation techniques to safeguard biodiversity, while offering tourists a unique vantage point on the significance of environmental stewardship (Hill et al., 2021). Similarly, in Mauritius, sustainable tourism practices are increasingly seen as pivotal in combating climate change impacts and ensuring the longevity of the tourism sector itself (Bramwell, 2021). Thus, CBT emerges as a key strategy in the sustainable tourism paradigm, enhancing economic viability, environmental preservation, and socio-cultural integrity (Jones & Comfort, 2020).

Challenges and Impacts of Community-Based Tourism (CBT)

CBT can also lead to economic fragility due to its heavy reliance on the tourism sector (Mtapuri, & Giampiccoli, 2021). This dependence exposes communities to fluctuations in global travel trends and external economic shocks, such as financial crises or health pandemics, which can drastically reduce tourist numbers and, consequently, the primary income for these areas (Hall, et al., 2021). For instance, the sudden drop in tourism during the COVID-19 pandemic severely impacted communities that depended heavily on tourism, underscoring the risks of over-reliance on a single economic sector (Mtapuri, & Giampiccoli,

2021). Furthermore, while CBT initiatives often aim to preserve and showcase local cultures, increased exposure to diverse tourist expectations can lead to cultural dilution (Rahman & Baddam, 2021). The pressure to cater to tourist preferences can prompt communities to modify traditional practices and rituals, sometimes staging them in ways that may not accurately reflect their cultural significance (Wearing, et al., 2009). This transformation not only alters the authenticity of the cultural experience but can also lead to a loss of meaning for the community members themselves, potentially undermining the cultural heritage CBT seeks to protect (Cuong, 2020). Additionally, CBT can lead to environmental degradation if not managed properly, despite its sustainable intentions (Henama, et al., 2019). The influx of tourists can strain local resources, particularly in areas with limited infrastructure (Pezzullo, 2009). Increased waste production, water usage, and physical wear and tear on natural sites can degrade the very environments that attract tourists in the first place (Pezzullo, 2009). This necessitates careful planning and regulation to ensure that the environmental footprint of tourism remains within sustainable limits (Pezzullo, 2009).

Therefore, CBT initiatives must prioritize long-term environmental monitoring and adaptive management to ensure sustainable outcomes (Sharma & Sodani, 2023). Continuously tracking ecological changes allows for responsive adjustments in tourism practices, maintaining ecological balance (Burbano, et al., 2022). Concurrently, CBT must safeguard cultural integrity through careful management that avoids commodification, encouraging genuine cultural exchanges and facilitating inter-generational transmission of cultural knowledge, as exemplified by the Maasai community in Kenya (Nampushi, 2015). Economically, CBT should not solely depend on tourism; diversifying into sectors like sustainable agriculture and crafts, to enhance economic resilience (Huqa, 2017). This approach not only buffers communities against tourism's cyclical nature but also promotes a holistic development that aligns with local needs and global sustainability trends (Sharma & Sodani, 2023).

Recommendations for Further Research

While this article explored examples of sustainable tourism practices, further research can deepen our understanding and inform future efforts. Deeper research is needed to quantitatively assess the long-term environmental and social impacts of sustainability programs. This could involve further studies on biodiversity changes, waste reduction outcomes, or the socioeconomic well-being of local communities involved in tourism. Another emerging area in the research is the role of technology in sustainability. Exploring the potential of technological advancements like data analytics and online platforms could be crucial. These tools can assist in monitoring environmental impacts, promoting responsible tourism practices, and connecting tourists with local communities. Lastly, further research is needed on innovative financing models that incentivize tourism businesses to practice sustainable practices. This could involve exploring green bonds, impact investment opportunities, or public-private partnerships specifically focused on sustainable tourism development.

Conclusion: Charting a Sustainable Course for Tourism

Sustainable tourism in Mauritius and Queensland presents a paradigm that goes beyond traditional leisure tourism to encompass economic, environmental, and socio-cultural

sustainability. Community-based tourism (CBT) stands out as an empowering strategy that enables local communities to take ownership and benefit from tourism activities. The examples from Mauritius with Rogers Hospitality and its "Now for Tomorrow" program, or the Reef Guardian Council in Queensland, represent innovative practices that reconcile the need for economic development with the imperative to preserve cultural and/or natural heritage. The journey towards truly sustainable tourism is complex and multifaceted, involving collaboration across various stakeholders, innovative policy-making, and market-based solutions to guide consumer behavior towards more sustainable choices. With the right mix of commitment, creativity, and community engagement, both Mauritius and Queensland can lead the way in demonstrating how tourism can support the quest for sustainable development. Ultimately, sustainable tourism is not just a sector of the economy; it's a vision for how tourism can enrich lives, protect environments, and preserve cultures. As the world grapples with the challenges of the 21st century, the principles and practices of sustainable tourism in places like Mauritius and Queensland offer hope and direction for a more resilient and responsible global tourism industry.

References

- Akama, J. S. (2019). Community-based tourism as a strategy for cultural heritage preservation in Ghana. *International Journal of Tourism Research*, 21(2), 222-238.
- Australian Government, Department of the Environment and Water Resources [DEWR]. (2024, April 12). Indigenous Land and Sea Rangers program. [<https://www.qld.gov.au/environment/plants-animals/conservation/community/land-sea-rangers/about-rangers>]
- Becerro, M. A., Linares, C., & Otero-Villán, J. M. (2018). Assessing the environmental risks of tourism in sensitive coastal areas: A review. *Ocean & Coastal Management*, 153, 144-153.
- Becken, S., & Hay, J. (2017). Climate change and tourism: Responding to the challenge. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 68, 225-236.
- Benitez-Guerrero, M., Duarte, C. M., & Serrat, D. (2023). Littoral tourism and its environmental footprint: A global meta-analysis. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 222, 1662-1672.
- Blackstock, N. (2005). Community-based tourism: A review of the international literature. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 13(4), 284-299.
- Boley, S., & Perdue, R. (2012). *Community-based tourism: Concepts and realities*. Channel View Publications.
- Bramwell, B. (2021). Theories and conceptual frameworks for tourism sustainability. In M. M. Sigala & D. Leslie (Eds.), *International Handbook on Tourism and Sustainability*. Routledge.
- Brouder, E., McGregor, J. A., McIntyre, M., Larsen, T., & Bridgewater, P. (2020). Enhancing cultural heritage tourism experiences through community-based tourism principles. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 28(4), 621-642.
- Buckley, R. (2021). *Sustainable tourism: Rethinking environmental protection*. Earthscan from Routledge.
- Burbano, D. V., Valdivieso, J. C., Izurieta, J. C., Meredith, T. C., & Ferri, D. Q. (2022). "Rethink and reset" tourism in the Galapagos Islands: Stakeholders' views on the sustainability of tourism development. *Annals of Tourism Research Empirical Insights*, 3(2), 100057.

- Cheer, J. M., Sigala, M., & Wearing, S. L. (Eds.). (2019). *Tourism in Development: Reflective Essays*. CABI.
- Cornelissen, S., & Verheijden, K. (2004). Corporate social responsibility in the tourism industry: An exploratory study of integration processes. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 50(1), 37-51.
- Cuong, V. M. (2020). Alienation of ethnic minorities in community-based tourism. *Current Issues in Tourism*, 23(21), 2649-2665.
- Dahles, H., Healy, C., & Lohmann, M. (2019). Community-based tourism ventures and local empowerment: A critical review of the literature. *Tourism Management*, 71, 155-169.
- Dean, D., Novianti, S., & Noor, A. A. (2020). The International and Domestic Tourists Behavior in Australia: Quality vs. Quantity Issue in Regional Tourism Development Perspective.
- Dyer, P., Aberdeen, L., & Schuler, S. (2003). Tourism impacts on an Australian indigenous community: A Djabugay case study, *Tourism Management*, 24(1):83-95
- Edgell Sr, D. L. (2019). *Managing sustainable tourism: A legacy for the future*. Routledge.
- Farrell, T. B., & Twining-Ward, L. (2004). Community-based ecotourism: An empowering force for conservation? *Annals of Tourism Research*, 31(2), 305-327.
- Forsyth, D. A., Dwyer, L., & Spurr, R. (2023). The effectiveness of environmental taxes and subsidies in tourism. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 31(2), 393-414.
- Gamboa, E. A. (2019). *Evaluation of Eco-labels for SMEs in Nature-based Tourist Destinations Development and Application of a Scoring Methodology* (Master's thesis, NTNU).
- Gössling, S., Scott, D., & Hall, C. M. (2019). Paris Agreement and global tourism: A review of relevant scientific literature. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 27(8), 1595-1616.
- Gössling, S., & Hünneke, L. (2015). *The political ecology of tourism*. Routledge.
- Gossling, S. (2022). *Eco-certification and sustainable tourism: Definitions, standards, and realities*. Routledge.
- Gowreesunkar, V. G., Maingi, S. W., & Ming'ate, F. L. M. (2023) *Management of Tourism Ecosystem Services in a Post Pandemic Context*.
- Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority. (2023, February 15). Reef Guardian Councils. (<https://www2.gbrmpa.gov.au/our-work/programs-and-projects/reef-guardian-councils>)
- Hall, C. M., Gössling, S., & Scott, D. (2015). The evolution of sustainable development and sustainable tourism. In *The Routledge handbook of tourism and sustainability* (pp. 15-35). Routledge.
- Hall, C. M., Scott, D., & Gössling, S. (2021). Pandemics, transformations and tourism:: Be Careful what you wish for. In *Global Tourism and COVID-19* (pp. 123-144). Routledge.
- Heagneya, E. C., Rosec, J. M., Ardeshirid, A., & Kovaca, M. (2020). The economic value of tourism and recreation across a large protected area network.
- Henama, U. S., Mangope, D., & Strydom, A. (2019). A critique of the interface between tourism, sustainable development and sustainable tourism in community-based tourism theory.
- Heynen, A. P., & Ambeth, P. V. (2023). Sustainable legacies of a Climate Positive Olympic Games: An assessment of carbon offsets and renewable energy for Brisbane 2032. *Sustainability*, 15(2), 1207.
- Heritage Resorts. (2023). www.heritageresorts.com.
- Hill, R., Walsh, F. J., Davies, J., & Sandford, M. (Eds.). (2021). *The Power of Indigenous-Led Conservation*. ANU Press.

- Jacobsen, D. (2017). Tourism considerations for the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander art sector in far north Queensland.
- Huqa, A. J. (2017). Sustainable management of community based Tourism: the case of community based tourism projects in Isiolo county in Kenya (Doctoral dissertation, University of Nairobi).
- Jones, P., & Comfort, D. (2020). Sustainable Tourism: A View from Accommodation Businesses. *Research in Hospitality Management*, 10(1), 67-74. 24. Kuku Yalanji Cultural Habitat Tours.
- Kumar, P., Gupta, S. K., Korstanje, M. E., & Rout, P. C. (Eds.). (2024). *Achieving Sustainable Transformation in Tourism and Hospitality Sectors*. IGI Global.
- Makoondlall-Chadee, T., Ramasamy-Coolen, P., Naria, O., & Bokhoree, S. (2024). Mauritius as a Sustainable Island Destination: Opportunities, Implications, and Challenges for Sport Tourism. In *Sport Tourism, Island Territories and Sustainable Development: A Comparative Perspective* (pp. 347-366). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
- Mauritius Ecotourism Label. (2024). Ile aux Cerfs Lagoon Sanctuary. [<https://www.mauritiusconscious>]
- Mauritius Statistics Bureau. (2023). *Statistics Mauritius*. [statsmauritius.govmu.org]
- McCool, S. F. (2015). *Tourism: Impacts, planning and management*. Routledge.
- Mbaiwa, J. E., & Stronza, A. (2023). Community-based tourism and its contributions to cultural heritage preservation in sub-Saharan Africa. *Tourism Management*, 100, 106159.
- Ministry of Arts and Culture, Republic of Mauritius. (2023, March 1). *Sega Mauricien*. [<https://m.facebook.com/people/Ministry-of-Arts-and-Cultural-Heritage-Mauritius/100069386737585/>]
- Mowforth, S., & Munt, I. (2003). *Tourism and sustainability*. Routledge.
- Moscato, G. (2008). Sustainable tourism: A moral imperative. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 16(2), 253-268.
- Mtapuri, O., & Giampiccoli, A. (2021). Beyond COVID-19: An opportunity for a new tourism sector. *International Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Systems*, 14 (Special Issue on COVID-19), 21-33.
- Nampushi, J. S. (2015). *Perceptions of leadership and sustainable tourism in emerging economies: Narok County, Kenya* (Doctoral dissertation, Clemson University).
- Nature Climate Change. (2020). Increased frequency of coral bleaching events since the 1980s. [<https://www.nature.com/articles/nature21707>]
- Osei, M. S. (2020). *Effect of Green Marketing on Consumer Purchasing Behaviour: The Mediating Role of Situational Factors* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Cape Coast).
- Pan, S. Y., Gao, M., Kim, H., Shah, K. J., Pei, S. L., & Chiang, P. C. (2018). Advances and challenges in sustainable tourism toward a green economy. *Science of the total environment*, 635, 452-469.
- Pabel, A., Prideaux, B., & Thompson, M. (2016). Tourists' preferences with Indigenous tourism experiences in the Wet Tropics of Queensland, Australia. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management*, 28, 34-42. 33. Prime Minister of the Republic of Mauritius – 2023 - [<https://pmo.govmu.org/News/SitePages/UNESCO--The-PM-unveils-announcem-ent-certificate-of-BRGBO-Biosphere-Reserve.aspx>]
- Pezzullo, P. C. (2009). *Toxic tourism: Rhetorics of pollution, travel, and environmental justice*. University of Alabama Press.
- Pratibha, A., Pedersen, A., & Frederiks, M. (2022). Commodification, commoditization anxiety, and cultural heritage tourism: A case study of Goa, India. *Tourism Management*, 91, 104473.

- Prideaux, B., Laws, E., & Lee, M. (2016). Indigenous tourism: A literature review. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 24(3-4), 409-428.
- Rahman, S. S., & Baddam, P. R. (2021). Community Engagement in Southeast Asia's Tourism Industry: Empowering Local Economies. *Global Disclosure of Economics and Business*, 10(2), 75-90.
- Richards, G. (2021). *Rethinking Cultural Tourism*, Elgar Publishing.
- Rogers Hospitality. (2023). *Now for Tomorrow*, (<https://nowfortomorrow.mu/>)
- Scheyvens, R. (1999). Ecotourism and the empowerment of local communities. *Tourism Management*, 20(2), 245-249.
- Sharpley, R., & Naidoo, P. (2010). Tourism and Poverty Reduction: The Case of Mauritius. *Tourism and Hospitality Planning & Development*, 7(2), 145–162.
- Sharpley, R. (2020). *Responsible tourism: A practical guide for destinations*. Routledge.
- Sharma, A., & Sodani, P. (2023). Ethics in tourism: Responsibility toward balancing sustainability. In *International Handbook of Skill, Education, Learning, and Research Development in Tourism and Hospitality* (pp. 1-19). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
- Sharma, R., Kamble, Z., & Hong, J. Y. Q. (2024). Community-Based Tourism: Addressing Social Needs in Tropical Regions. In *Economic Growth and Development in the Tropics* (pp. 134-158). Routledge.
- Soulard, J., McGehee, N. G., & Stern, M. (2019). Transformative tourism organizations and glocalization. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 76, 91-104.
- Srinivasan, M., Kaullysing, D., Bhagooli, R., & Pratt, S. (2022). Marine tourism and the blue economy: Perspectives from the Mascarene and Pacific Islands. In *Blue Economy: An Ocean Science Perspective* (pp. 153-189). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
- Tosun, C. (2000). Homestay tourism in developing countries: A catalyst for local development? *Tourism Economics*, 6(3), 269-284.
- Torres, R., & Mompou, G. (2015). The local economic impact of tourism: A review of the literature. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 23(4), 659-674
- Torres-Delgado, A., & Palomeque, F. L. (2012). The growth and spread of the concept of sustainable tourism: The contribution of institutional initiatives to tourism policy. *Tourism Management Perspectives*, 4, 1-10.
- United Nations Environment Programme & World Tourism Organization. (2020). *Making peace with nature: A tourism perspective*. UNEP and UNWTO.
- Wearing, S., Young, T., & Stevenson, D. (2009). *Tourist cultures: Identity, place and the traveller*.
- Weaver, D. (2008). *Sustainable tourism: Ecology, economics and community*. Earthscan from Routledge.
- Withyman, C. (2015). *Sustainable tourism certification: A case study of Noosa Biosphere Reserve* (Doctoral dissertation, CQUniversity).
- World Travel & Tourism Council. (2023). *Economic impact report*.
- World Wildlife Fund. (2021). *Why coral reefs matter*. [https://wwf.panda.org/discover/our_focus/oceans_practice/coral_reef_rescue/]
- Zenker, S., & Kock, M. (2023). Environmental impacts of tourism - A global perspective. In S. Gössling & C. M. Hall (Eds.), *The Routledge Handbook of Tourism and the Environment*, 3rd ed., pp. 117-132. Routledge.
- Zeppel, H. (2012). Indigenous tourism: Benefits and challenges. *International Journal of Tourism Anthropology*, 4(1), 1-14.



All papers are published under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0). For more details, visit <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.